			111
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1101
	10/616,920	SUZUKI ET AL.	V
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Malik N. Drake	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGOT THE OFFICE OF UPON PETRON PROPRIED TO THE OFFICE OF THE NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGOT THE OFFICE OF THE OFFICE OFFICE OF THE OFFICE OFFIC	OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu GHTS. This application is st	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due c	d ourse. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>amendment and terminated the second sec</u>	inal disclaimer filed 3/9/04.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>14-25</u> .			
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>11 July 2003</u> are accepted by the Example 1.	aminer.		
4.			
 Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date 3/9/04 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. ☐ Interview Su Paper No./N 7. ☐ Examiner's A	ormal Patent Application (PTO- mmary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allow	ŕ

Art Unit: 3744

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). In the present case, Applicant timely filed a terminal disclaimer, thus overcoming any double patenting rejections involving Suzuki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,598,421).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/616,920 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Malik

Drake at telephone number (703) 305-0249 and/or fax number (703) 872-9306. The

Examiner's work schedule is 9:30am – 8:00pm, Monday through Thursday.

CHERYL J. TYLER
PRIMARY EXAMINER